| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 10/642,524 | ERRICO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Brian E. Pellegrino | 3738 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Brian E. Pellegrino</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Michael Doherty</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 30 October 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: U.S.C. 101 statutory double patenting rejection | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-8 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for the Examiner's amendment was granted by Mr. Doherty to cancel claims 1-8 since the same claims were present in 10/642523 | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | y by the examiner appears in | raπ II above. |
| Brian Elellegrino | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signaryre) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |